arXiv:math/0309467v1 [math.AT] 30 Sep 2003

DIRAC OPERATOR COUPLED TO INSTANTONS ON POSITIVE DEFINITE 4 MANIFOLDS

JOÃO PAULO SANTOS

Abstract. We study the moduli space of instantons on a simply connected positive definite four manifold by analyzing the classifying map of the index bundle of a family of Dirac operators parametrized by the moduli space. As applications we compute the cohomology ring for the charge 2 moduli space in the rank stable limit.

1. Introduction

Let X be a simply connected positive definite four manifold with base point $x_\infty \in X$ and let $E \to X$ be an $SU(r)$ vector bundle with second Chern class $c_2(E) = -k < 0$. We will denote by $\mathfrak{M}^r_k(X)$ the moduli space of self-dual connections on E, framed at x_∞. By results in [Don83] and [Fre82], X is homeomorphic to a connected sum of a number q of copies of $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Let X_q denote this manifold.

A Dirac operator $\partial\!\!\!/ : \Gamma(S^+) \to \Gamma(S^-)$ defines a K-theory class $[Ker\ \partial\!\!\!/] - [Coker\ \partial\!\!\!/]$ over $\mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q)$ whose fiber at each point $A \in \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q)$ is given by the kernel and cokernel of the operator $\partial\!\!\!/$ coupled to the connection A. For A a self-dual connection, the operator $\partial\!\!\!/$ is surjective, hence its kernel defines a vector bundle over $\mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q)$, with classifying map $f : \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q) \to BU(N)$ ($N = \dim \operatorname{Ker} \partial\!\!\!/ = \operatorname{Ind} \partial\!\!\!/$). In this paper we will study this family of maps (one for each Dirac operator) and use it to obtain information about the topology of the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q)$.

For $r' > r$, direct sum with a trivial rank $r' - r$ bundle induces a map $\mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q) \to \mathfrak{M}^{r'}_k(X_q)$ and we define $\mathfrak{M}^\infty_k(X_q) = \varinjlim_r \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q)$. In [San95] it was shown that, for $q = 0$ ($X_0 = S^4$), $\operatorname{Ind} \partial\!\!\!/ = k$ and the map $f : \mathfrak{M}^\infty_k(S^4) \to BU(k)$ is a homotopy equivalence. Our first result is (see also [BS97])

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\partial\!\!\!/_\pm$ be the Dirac operators on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with associated Chern classes the generators $\pm 1 \in H^2(\mathbb{CP}^2)$. Then* $\operatorname{Ind} \partial\!\!\!/_\pm = k$ *and the associated map $f_+ \times f_- : \mathfrak{M}^\infty_k(\mathbb{CP}^2) \to BU(k) \times BU(k)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

These results have a nice interpretation as follows: In [Tau89] Taubes showed that, for $k' > k$, there is a glueing map $\mathfrak{M}^r_k(X) \to \mathfrak{M}^r_{k'}(X)$ such that the space $\mathfrak{M}^r_\infty(X) = \varinjlim_k \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\operatorname{Map}(X, BSU(r))$. When $r \to \infty$ $\operatorname{Map}(X_q, BSU)$ is homotopic to the product of $q+1$ copies of BU so we have a map $\mathfrak{M}^\infty_k(X_q) \to \varinjlim_k \mathfrak{M}^\infty_k \cong \prod_{l=0}^{q} BU$. For X_0, X_1 this map is just the map $BU(k) \to BU$

and $BU(k) \times BU(k) \to BU \times BU$ respectively. For each q we will choose $q+1$ Dirac operators with index k inducing a map $f : \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q) \to \prod_{l=0}^{q} BU(k)$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q) \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d] & \prod_{l=0}^{q} BU(k) \arrow[d] \\
\mathfrak{M}^r_\infty(X_q) \arrow[r, "f"] & \prod_{l=0}^{q} BU
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes and, when $r \to \infty$, the bottom map is a homotopy equivalence. We will show that

Theorem 1.2. *For $k = 1, 2$ and any q, the map $\mathfrak{M}^\infty_k(X_q) \to \varinjlim_k \mathfrak{M}^\infty_k \cong \prod BU$ induces a surjective map in cohomology.*

We conjecture this result is true for all k:

Conjecture 1.3. *The map $\mathfrak{M}^\infty_k(X_q) \to \varinjlim_k \mathfrak{M}^\infty_k \cong \prod BU$ induces a surjective map in cohomology.*

Theorem 1.2 will allow us to compute the cohomology ring of $\mathfrak{M}^\infty_2(X_q)$:

Theorem 1.4. *$H^*(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q))$ is isomorphic to the quotient of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[C_1, C_2, S^i_1, S^i_2; 1 \le i \le q]$ (with deg $C_n, S^i_n = 2n$) by the ideal generated by*

$$C_1 S^i_1 S^j_1 + S^i_1 S^j_2 + S^i_2 S^j_1\,,\ i \neq j$$
$$C_2 S^i_1 S^j_1 - S^i_2 S^j_2\,,\ i \neq j$$
$$S^i_1 S^j_1 S^k_1\,,\ i \neq j \neq k$$
$$S^i_1 S^j_1 S^k_2\,,\ i \neq j \neq k$$

The strategy of the proofs will be to define a subspace $\Sigma^r_{q,k} \subset \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q)$ and to compute the map induced in cohomology by the composition

$$\Sigma^r_{q,k} \to \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q) \to \prod_{l=0}^{q} BU(k)$$

In section 2 we will define the moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q)$ and the classifying map $f : \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q) \to \prod BU(k)$. Then in section 3 we will introduce the spaces $\Sigma^r_{q,k}$ and use them to prove theorem 1.1. In section 4 we define, for $q' < q$, maps $\pi^* : \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_{q'}) \to \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q)$ and study some of their properties. These results will be used in section 5 to prove theorems 1.4 and 1.2.

2. THE MODULI SPACE AND THE CLASSIFYING MAP

Let X_q denote the connected sum of q copies of $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Fix a C^∞ $SU(r)$ vector bundle $E \to X_q$ with $c_2(E) = -k < 0$ and let $\mathcal{A}(E)$ denote the space of connections on E. A connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$ is called self-dual if $F^-_A = 0$. These connections minimize the Yang-Mills functional $\int |F_A|^2$ (see [DK90]). Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the gauge group of automorphisms of the bundle E. Fix a point $x_\infty \in X_q$ and let $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g \in \mathcal{G} \,|\, g(x_\infty) = \mathbb{1}\}$. The moduli space $\mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q)$ of instantons framed at x_∞ is the quotient of the space of self-dual connections by $\mathcal{G}_0$.

Given a *Spin*^{c} structure on X_q with associated line bundle L and cohomology class $c \in H^2(X_q)$ ($c = w_2(X_q) \bmod 2$), a choice of a connection a in L induces a Dirac operator $\partial\!\!\!/_c : \Gamma(S^+) \to \Gamma(S^-)$ (see for example [LM89]). We will take a to be the (unique) self-dual connection on L. Then, to each connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$ we can associate a Dirac operator $\partial\!\!\!/_{c,A} : \Gamma(S^+ \otimes E) \to \Gamma(S^- \otimes E)$ coupled with A.

Proposition 2.1. *The index of* $\partial\!\!\!/_{c,A}$ *is given by*

$$ind\, \partial\!\!\!/_{c,A} = k + \frac{c_1(E)\cdot(c_1(E)+c)}{2} + r\frac{c^2-q}{8}$$

Proof. It is a direct consequence of the formula

$$ind\, \partial\!\!\!/_{c,A} = e^{\frac{c}{2}} \cdot ch(E) \cdot \hat{A}(M)$$

for the index of a Dirac operator. □

Notice that if $c^2 = \mathrm{sig}(M) = q$ then $ind\, \partial\!\!\!/_{c,A}$ is independent of the rank r of E.

Proposition 2.2. *There are exactly* 2^q *Spin*^{c} *structures on* M *such that* $c^2 = q$, *namely* $c = (\pm 1, \ldots, \pm 1)$.

Proof. From Wu's formulas,

$$w_2 = Sq^0 v_2 + Sq^1 v_1 + Sq^2 v_0 = v_2$$

where $v_2 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}_{/2})$ satisfies $v_2 \cup x = Sq^2 x = x \cup x$ for any $x \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}_{/2})$ (see [MS74], theorem 11.14). From here it follows that

$$w_2(M) = (1, \ldots, 1) \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}_{/2})$$

Now the conditions $c^2 = \mathrm{sig}(M) = q$ and $w_2 = c \bmod 2$ imply $c = (\pm 1, \ldots, \pm 1)$. □

Proposition 2.3. *Let* X_q, $\partial\!\!\!/_{c,A}$ *be as above and assume that* X_q *has a metric with scalar curvature* $s > 0$. *Then if the connections* A, a *are self-dual, the operator* $\partial\!\!\!/_{c,A}$ *is surjective.*

Proof. We want to show the cokernel of $\partial\!\!\!/_{c,A}$ is zero. Hence we look at the Bochner-Weizenboch formula for the dual operator $\partial\!\!\!/^*_{c,A}$:

$$\partial\!\!\!/_{c,A} \partial\!\!\!/^*_{c,A} = \nabla^* \nabla + \frac{1}{4}s + \mathfrak{R}$$

where s is the scalar curvature of X_q and $\mathfrak{R}$ is defined as follows: locally we can write $S^- = S_0 \otimes L^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes E$. Let $\sigma \in \Gamma(S_0)$, $v \in \Gamma(L^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes E)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{R}(\sigma \otimes v) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{4} (e_j e_k \sigma) \otimes (F^-(e_j, e_k) v)$$

Here F^- is the anti-self-dual part of the curvature on $L^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes E$ induced by a, A, which vanishes if A, a are self-dual. Since $s > 0$ it follows that $\partial\!\!\!/^*_{c,A}$ is injective which completes the proof. □

Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ denote the space of self-dual connections, We have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{I} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \Gamma(S^+ \otimes E) \arrow[rr, "\not{\partial}_c"] \arrow[dr, "p_1"'] & & \mathcal{I} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \Gamma(S^- \otimes E) \arrow[dl, "p_2"] \\
& \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{G}_0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\not{\partial}_c([A, s]) = [A, \not{\partial}_{c,A} s]$. Then the kernel of $\not{\partial}_c$ defines a rank k vector bundle $[\mathrm{Ker}\ \not{\partial}_c]$ over $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathfrak{M}_k^r$ (see [Seg70]), with classifying map $f_c : \mathfrak{M}_k^r \to BU(k)$.

Now we choose $q + 1$ specific $Spin^c$ structures: fix a basis $e_1, \dots, e_q$ of $H^2(X_q)$. Then define $c_0 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and, for $l = 1, \dots, q$,

$$c_l = -e_l + \sum_{i \neq l} e_i$$

The associated classifying maps define a map $f : \mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_q) \to \prod_{l=0}^{q} BU(k)$. This choice of Chern classes $c_0, \dots, c_q$ is justified by the following theorem (which will be proven at the end of next section):

Theorem 2.4. *When $k, r \to \infty$, the map $f : \mathfrak{M}_\infty^\infty(X_q) \to \prod BU$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

3. Orbits

In this section we define the subspace $\Sigma_{q,k}^r \subset \mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_q)$ and compute the composition $\Sigma_{q,k}^r \to \mathfrak{M}_k^r \to \prod BU(k)$. As a corollary we prove theorems 1.1 and 2.4.

The group $\mathcal{G}$ of automorphisms of E acts on $\mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_q)$. For a connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$ let $Or(A) \subset \mathfrak{M}_k$ denote the orbit of $[A]$ under the action of $\mathcal{G}$. We will choose $\binom{k+q-1}{q-1}$ connections A_J and define $\Sigma_{q,k}^r$ as the union of the orbits $Or(A_J)$:

To each q-tuple $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_q)$ with $|J| = \sum_i j_i = k$ we define a connection A_J on E as follows: fix an isomorphism $\phi : E \cong \bigoplus_a L_a$ where the line bundles L_a satisfy

$$\#\{a | c_1(L_a) = e_i\} = \#\{a | c_1(L_a) = -e_i\} = j_i \ , \ \#\{a | c_1(L_a) = 0\} = r - 2k$$

($e_1, \dots, e_q$ is a basis of H^2). That is, $E \cong L_{+e_1}^{\oplus j_1} \oplus L_{-e_1}^{\oplus j_1} \oplus \dots \oplus L_{+e_q}^{\oplus j_q} \oplus L_{-e_q}^{\oplus j_q} \oplus L_0^{r-2k}$. Let λ_a be the self-dual connection on L_a and define $A_J = \phi^{-1} \left(\bigoplus_a \lambda_a\right) \phi$. We can now define $\Sigma_{q,k}^r$:

Definition 3.1. *The space $\Sigma_{q,k}^r$ is the disjoint union*

$$\Sigma_{q,k}^r = \coprod_{|J|=k} Or(A_J).$$

Observe that for a different choice of isomorphism $\hat{\phi} : E \cong \bigoplus_a L_a$, A_J would differ by $\hat{\phi}^{-1}\phi \in \mathcal{G}$. Hence $Or(A_J)$ depends only on J. We will often simplify the notation and write $Or(J)$ instead of $Or(A_J)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let I_A be the stabilizer of A under the action of $\mathcal{G}$, $I_{[A]}$ be the stabilizer of $[A]$ under $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0$ and $[I_A]$ the image of I_A on $\mathfrak{M}_k$. Then these 3 groups are isomorphic and we have*

$$Or(A) \cong \frac{SU(r)}{I_A}$$

Proof. It follows directly from the fact that the action of $\mathcal{G}_0$ on $\mathcal{A}(E)$ is free. □

Proposition 3.3. *The stabilizer $I_{[A_J]} \subset \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0$ of $[A_J]$ is the intersection of the subgroup*

$$P(J) = U(j_1) \times U(j_1) \times \ldots \times U(j_q) \times U(j_q) \times U(r-2k),$$

sitting in $U(r)$ diagonally, with $SU(r)$.

Proof. It is clear that $P(J) \subset I_{[A_J]}$. Let $g \in I_{A_J} \subset \mathcal{G}$ (we are identifying I_{A_J} and $I_{[A_J]}$: see proposition 3.2). Locally $(A_J)_{ab} = \delta_{ab}\lambda_a$ and we get the equation $dg_{ab} = g_{ab}(\lambda_a - \lambda_b)$ which implies $g_{ab}(d\lambda_a - d\lambda_b) = 0$. So, since $d\lambda_a$ is the harmonic 2-form representing $c_1(L_a)$, $g_{ab} = 0$ if $c_1(L_a) \neq c_1(L_b)$. This shows $g \in P(J)$ completing the proof. □

Hence, when $r \to \infty$, the orbit of A_J is given by

$$Or(J) \cong BU(j_1)^{\times 2} \times \ldots \times BU(j_q)^{\times 2}$$

The main result of this section is

Theorem 3.4. *Let*

$$B\pi_c : BU(j_1)^{\times 2} \times \ldots \times BU(j_q)^{\times 2} \to BU(j_1) \times \ldots \times BU(j_q)$$

be the projection map defined as follows: in each factor $BU(j_i)^{\times 2}$, $B\pi_c$ is the projection onto the first component if $c \cup e_i = 1$ and onto the second component if $c \cup e_i = -1$. Then the restriction of f to $Or(J)$, $f : Or(J) \to BU(k)$, is the composition of $B\pi_c$ with the Whitney sum map

$$BU(j_1) \times \ldots \times BU(j_q) \to BU(k)$$

Before we prove this theorem we need the following lemma analyzing the restriction of the vector space [Ker $\not{\partial}_c$] $\to \mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_q)$ to an orbit $Or(A_0)$:

Proposition 3.5. *Let $G = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0$ and fix $A_0 \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $\rho : I_{[A_0]} \to I_{A_0}$ denote the isomorphism of proposition 3.2. Then ρ induces a representation of $I_{[A_0]}$ on the vector space*

$$V = \{\psi \in \Gamma(S^+ \otimes E) \mid \not{\partial}_{A_0}\psi = 0\}$$

The bundle [Ker $\not{\partial}_c$] restricted to the orbit $Or(A_0)$ is isomorphic to the vector bundle associated to the principal bundle $G \to G/I_{[A_0]}$ and the representation ρ.

Proof. ρ is well defined since, for $h \in I_{A_0}$, $\not{\partial}_{A_0}\psi = h^{-1}\not{\partial}_{A_0}h\psi$. We begin by defining a map

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{G} \times V \arrow[r] & \mathcal{I} \times_{\mathcal{G}_0} \Gamma \\
(g, \psi) \arrow[r, mapsto] & {[g^{-1}A_0 g, g^{-1}\psi]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

(where $g^{-1}A_0g$ is a shorthand for $g^{-1}\circ\nabla_{A_0}\circ g$). Observe that this map descends to give a map $(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0)\times_{I_{A_0}} V \to \mathcal{I}\times_{\mathcal{G}_0}\Gamma$ where $\mathcal{G}_0$ acts on $\mathcal{G}$ on the right and I_{A_0} acts on $\mathcal{G}$ on the left and on V by ρ. The statement of the proposition is that this bundle map, when restricted to $Or(A)$, is an isomorphism onto the kernel of $\not{\partial}_c$.

We first show surjectivity. Let $A\in Or(A)$, $\hat{\psi}\in\Gamma(S^+\otimes E)$ be such that $\not{\partial}_A\hat{\psi}=0$. Then $A=g^{-1}\circ A_0\circ g$ for some $g\in\mathcal{G}$ and $g\hat{\psi}\in V$ because $\not{\partial}_{A_0}g\hat{\psi}=g\not{\partial}_A\psi=0$. Then $(g,g\hat{\psi})\mapsto[A,\hat{\psi}]$ which shows surjectivity.

Now suppose (g_1,ψ_1) and (g_2,ψ_2) have the same image, that is, $[g_1^{-1}A_0g_1,g_1^{-1}\psi_1]=[g_2^{-1}A_0g_2,g_2^{-1}\psi_2]$. Then, for some $h\in\mathcal{G}_0$, $(g_1h)^{-1}\psi_1=g_2^{-1}\psi_2$ and $(g_1h)^{-1}A_0(g_1h)=g_2^{-1}A_0g_2$, so $g_2(g_1h)^{-1}\in I_{A_0}$. It follows that

$$(g_1,\psi_1)\sim(g_1h,\psi_1)\sim(g_2(g_1h)^{-1}g_1h,g_2(g_1h)^{-1}\psi_1)=(g_2,\psi_2)$$

This concludes the proof of the proposition. □

We can now prove theorem 3.4:

Proof. f is the composition of the classifying map $G/I_{A_J}\to BI_{A_J}$ with the map $B\rho: BI_{A_J}\to BGl(V)$ induced by the representation $\rho: I_{A_J}\to Gl(V)$. So we have to analyze this representation. Let $\psi\in V$ and let $g\in P(J)$. Recall

$$E\cong L_{+e_1}^{\oplus j_1}\oplus L_{-e_1}^{\oplus j_1}\oplus\ldots\oplus L_{+e_q}^{\oplus j_q}\oplus L_{-e_q}^{\oplus j_q}\oplus L_0^{\oplus r-2k}$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\psi&=\psi_1^+\oplus\psi_1^-\oplus\ldots\oplus\psi_q^+\oplus\psi_q^-\oplus\psi_0\\ g&=g_1^+\oplus g_1^-\oplus\ldots\oplus g_q^+\oplus g_q^-\oplus g_0\end{aligned}$$

with $\psi_i^\pm\in\Gamma\left(L_{\pm e_i}^{\oplus j_i}\right)$ and equivalently for $g_i^\pm$. Now $\not{\partial}_A\psi=0$ hence $\not{\partial}_{A_i^\pm}\psi_i^\pm=0$ where $A_i^\pm=\lambda_{\pm e_i}^{\oplus j_i}$ is the connection on $L_{\pm e_i}^{\oplus j_i}$. Also $\not{\partial}\psi_0=0$ hence $\psi_0=0$ and g_0 acts trivially. From the index theorem it follows

$$ind\,\not{\partial}_{\lambda_{\pm e_i}}=\frac{\pm e_i\cdot(c\pm e_i)}{2}=\frac{1\pm c\cup e_i}{2}$$

Now, $c\cup e_i=\pm1$. Hence

- If $c\cup e_i=+1$ then $\psi_i^-=0$ and $\psi_i^+=v\psi_i$ with $\psi_i\in\Gamma(L_{+e_i})$ and $v\in\mathbb{C}^{j_i}$; It follows that g_i^- acts trivially and g_i^+ acts by matrix multiplication on v.
- If $c\cup e_i=-1$ then $\psi_i^+=0$ and $\psi_i^-=v\psi_i$ with $\psi_i\in\Gamma(L_{-e_i})$ and $v\in\mathbb{C}^{j_i}$; It follows that g_i^+ acts trivially and g_i^- acts by matrix multiplication on v.

Fixing $\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_q$ as above gives an isomorphism $V\cong\mathbb{C}^{j_1}\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathbb{C}^{j_q}$. Let

$$P_c(J)\cong\{U(j_1)\times\ldots\times U(j_q)\,|\,\det=1\}$$

Then there is an obvious representation ρ_c of $P_c(n)$ on $V\cong\mathbb{C}^{j_1}\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathbb{C}^{j_q}$ which is trivial on the $U(r-k)$ factor. It follows that ρ is the composition of π_c with direct sum $P_c\to Gl(V)$.

Now, when $r\to\infty$ we have

$$G/I_{A_J}\cong BU(j_1)^{\times2}\times\ldots\times BU(j_q)^{\times2}$$

and $BI_{A_J} \cong G/I_{A_J} \times BU^{\times 2}$. The classifying map of $G \to G/I_{A_J}$ is then the identity on the G/I_{A_J} component and the result follows. ☐

As a corollary we have

Theorem 3.6. *For* $X_1 = \mathbb{CP}^2$*, and when* $r \to \infty$*, the map* $f : \mathfrak{M}_k^\infty(X_1) \to BU(k) \times BU(k)$ *is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Clearly the restriction of f to $\Sigma_{1,k}^\infty$ is a homotopy equivalence. From theorem A.2 in the appendix, the inclusion $\Sigma_{1,k}^\infty \to \mathfrak{M}_k^\infty(X_1)$ is a homotopy equivalency. The result follows. ☐

As another corollary we can now prove theorem 2.4:

Theorem 3.7. *When* $k, r \to \infty$*, the map* $f : \mathfrak{M}_\infty^\infty(X_q) \to \prod BU$ *is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. We begin by writing $\mathfrak{M}_\infty^\infty = \varinjlim_n \mathfrak{M}_{nq}^\infty$. Let $[A_n] \in \mathfrak{M}_{nq}^\infty$ be the connection associated with $J = (n, n, \ldots, n)$. We claim that, when $n \to \infty$, the map

$$f_* : H_*\left(\, Or(A_n)\,\right) \to H_*\left(\, BU(nq) \times \ldots \times BU(nq)\,\right)$$

induced by f in homology is surjective. It follows that, when $n \to \infty$, the map

$$f_* : H_*\left(\mathfrak{M}_{nq}^\infty\right) \to H_*\left(\, BU(nq) \times \ldots \times BU(nq)\,\right)$$

is surjective hence an isomorphism. Since $\mathfrak{M}_\infty^\infty$ is simply connected, f is a homotopy equivalence.

To prove the claim we recall that

$$Or(A_n) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{q} \left(\, BU(n) \times BU(n)\,\right)$$

When $n \to \infty$, $Or(A_\infty)$ is a loop space and the homology ring is

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(Or(A_\infty)) &\cong \mathbb{Z}[x_m^{i+}, x_m^{i-}; 1 \le i \le q, m \ge 1] \\ H_*\left(\prod_{l=0}^{q} BU\right) &\cong \mathbb{Z}[X_m^l; 0 \le l \le q, m \ge 1] \end{aligned}$$

and, by theorem 3.4, f_* is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_*(x_m^{i+}) &= \left(\sum_{l=0}^{q} X_m^l\right) - X_m^i \\ f_*(x_m^{i-}) &= X_m^i \end{aligned}$$

This map is clearly surjective. This completes the proof. ☐

4. Instantons and holomorphic bundles

The objective of this section is to define, for $q' < q$, embeddings $\pi^* : \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_{q'}) \to \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q)$ and to investigate their properties. In particular we will show that

- $\pi^*(Or(A)) = Or(\pi^* A)$
- For $X_{q'} = S^4$ and $r \to \infty$, $f_c \circ \pi^*$ is a homotopy equivalence.

We begin by relating instantons with holomorphic bundles. For details see [Buc93]. The space $\overline{X_q} \setminus \{x_\infty\}$ is isomorphic to the blow up $\mathbb{C}_q$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ at q points $x_1, \ldots, x_q$, and given a self-dual connection A on $E \to X_q$, its $(0,1)$ part $A^{0,1}$ is a holomorphic structure on the bundle $E \to \mathbb{C}_q$. Denote by $\mathcal{E}_A$ the resulting holomorphic bundle over $\mathbb{C}_q$. $\mathbb{C}_q$ can be compactified by adding a line L_∞ at infinity. The resulting compact surface is the blow-up $\mathbb{CP}^2_q$ of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ at q points. Then $\mathcal{E}_A$ extends to a holomorphic bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^2_q$, and the framing of the connection A at $x_\infty \in X_q$ induces a framing of $\mathcal{E}$ at L_∞. In [Buc93], [Mat00], it was shown that this correspondence is a real analytic isomorphism betwen moduli spaces:

Definition 4.1. *Let* $p : \overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_q} \to X_q$ *be the blow-up map sending* L_∞ *to* x_∞. *Let* $\mathcal{M}^r_k(\mathbb{CP}^2_q)$ *be the quotient by* $\operatorname{Aut}(p^*E)$ *of the space of pairs* $(\alpha^{0,1}, \phi)$, *where* $\alpha^{0,1}$ *is a holomorphic structure on* $p^*E \to \mathbb{CP}^2_q$, *inducing a holomorphic bundle* $\mathcal{E}$ *trivial at* L_∞, *and* $\phi : \mathcal{E}|_{L_\infty} \to \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}_{L_\infty}$ *is a trivialization.*

Theorem 4.2. *Fix an isomorphism* $h : E_{x_\infty} \to \mathbb{C}^r$ *and define a map* $\Psi : \mathfrak{M}^r_k(X_q) \to \mathcal{M}^r_k(\mathbb{CP}^2_q)$ *by* $\Psi([A]) = [p^*A^{0,1}, p^*h]$. *Then* Ψ *is an isomorphism of real analytic moduli spaces.*

The isomorphism Ψ has the following properties:

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $A_J = \bigoplus_a \lambda_a$ *be the connection associated with the q-tuple* J. *For each* a, λ_a *induces a holomorphic structure on* L_a, *defining a holomorphic line bundle* $\mathcal{L}_a$. *Then the holomorphic bundle* $\mathcal{E}_{A_J}$ *associated with the connection* A_J *is isomorphic to the direct sum* $\bigoplus_a \mathcal{L}_a$.

Proof. It follows from $p^*A^{0,1} = \bigoplus_a p^*\lambda_a^{0,1}$ □

Proposition 4.4. *Let* $g \in \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0 \cong \operatorname{Aut} E_{x_\infty} \cong SU(r)$. *Then* $\Psi([g^{-1}Ag]) = [p^*A^{0,1}, (p^*g) \circ (p^*h)]$ $(p^*g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}_{L_\infty}))$.

Proof. It follows from $p^*(g^{-1}A^{0,1}g) = (p^*g)^{-1}(p^*A^{0,1})p^*g$. □

Let $L_i \subset \mathbb{CP}^2_q$, $i = 1, \ldots, q$, denote the exceptional divisors. Then (see [San03])

Theorem 4.5. *Let* $I \subset \{1, \ldots, q\}$ *and write* $|I| = \#I$. *Let* $\pi_I : \mathbb{CP}^2_q \to \mathbb{CP}^2_{|I|}$ *be the blow up at points* x_j, $j \notin I$. π_I *induces embeddings*

$$\pi_I^* : \mathcal{M}^r_k(\mathbb{CP}^2_{|I|}) \to \mathcal{M}^r_k(\mathbb{CP}^2_q) \tag{1}$$

defined by $\pi_I^*([\alpha^{0,1}, \phi]) = [\pi_I^*\alpha^{0,1}, \phi]$, *whose images are the bundles*

$$\pi_I^*(\mathcal{M}^r_k(\mathbb{CP}^2_{|I|})) = \left\{[\mathcal{E}, \phi] \,|\, \mathcal{E}|_{L_j} \text{ is trivial for } j \notin I\right\} \tag{2}$$

The inverse map $(\pi_I^*)^{-1} : \pi_I^* \mathcal{M}_k^r(\mathbb{CP}^2_{|I|}) \to \mathcal{M}_k^r(\mathbb{CP}^2_{|I|})$ can be described as follows: $\mathbb{CP}^2_q \setminus \bigcup_{j \notin I} L_j$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2_{|I|}$ minus $q - |I|$ points. Given a bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^2_q$ restrict it to $\mathbb{CP}^2_q \setminus \bigcup L_j$. Then this bundle extends in a unique way to give a bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^2_{|I|}$. A corollary of this description is

Proposition 4.6. *To a q-tuple $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_q)$ such that $j_i = 0$ whenever $i \notin I$ we can associate a $(q - |I|)$-tuple $\tilde{J}$ formed by the entries j_i of J with $i \in I$. Then $\pi_I^* Or(\tilde{J}) = Or(J)$. In particular $Or(J) \subset \pi_I^*(\mathfrak{M}_k^r(\mathbb{CP}^2_{|I|}))$.*

Proof. From proposition 4.3 it follows that $\pi_I^* Or(\tilde{J}) \subset Or(J)$. Now, from equation 2, $Or(J) \subset \pi_I^*(\mathfrak{M}_k^r(\mathbb{CP}^2_{|I|}))$. Then $(\pi_I^*)^{-1} Or(J) \subset Or(\tilde{J})$ which completes the proof. ☐

A direct consequence of proposition 4.4 is

Proposition 4.7. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{M}_k(X_{|I|})$. Then $\pi_I^*(Or(A)) = Or(\pi_I^* A)$*

The last result of this section is

Theorem 4.8. *Let $f_c : \mathfrak{M}_k(X_q) \to BU(k)$ be the classifying map of* [Ker $\not{\partial}_c$]. *Then the composition*

$$\begin{tikzcd} BU(k) \cong \mathfrak{M}_k(S^4) \arrow[r, "\pi_\emptyset^*"] & \mathfrak{M}_k(X_q) \arrow[r, "f_c"] & BU(k) \end{tikzcd}$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. We first prove the result for $k = 1$. Let λ be a self-dual (irreducible) connection on a rank 2 charge 1 bundle E_2 over S^4. Then we can define a self-dual connection $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ on $E = E_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}^{r-2}$. The stabilizer of A is the subgroup $U(1) \times U(r-2) \subset U(r)$ of matrices

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & & \\ & e^{i\theta} & \\ & & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $g_{22} \in U(r-2)$. From proposition 4.7 $Or(\pi_\emptyset^* A) = \pi_\emptyset^*(Or(A))$. This shows that the maps $f_c : Or(\pi_\emptyset^* A) \to BU(1)$ are homotopy equivalences. The result of the theorem for $k = 1$ then follows since the inclusion $Or(A) \to \mathfrak{M}_1(S^4)$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Now we prove the general case. Direct sum induces a map $\mathfrak{M}^{r_1}_{k_1}(X_q) \times \mathfrak{M}^{r_2}_{k_2}(X_q) \to \mathfrak{M}^{r_1+r_2}_{k_1+k_2}(X_q)$ which is well defined when $r_1, r_2 \to \infty$ (see theorem B.2 in the appendices). We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{M}_1(X_0) \times \ldots \times \mathfrak{M}_1(X_0) \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d, "\pi_\emptyset^* \times \ldots \times \pi_\emptyset^*"] & \mathfrak{M}_k(X_0) \arrow[d, "\pi_\emptyset^*"] \\
\mathfrak{M}_1(X_q) \times \ldots \times \mathfrak{M}_1(X_q) \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d, "f_c \times \ldots \times f_c"] & \mathfrak{M}_k(X_q) \arrow[d, "f_c"] \\
BU(1) \times \ldots \times BU(1) \arrow[r, "\oplus"] & BU(k)
\end{tikzcd}$$

We showed already that the left vertical maps give a homotopy equivalence. The bottom map (Whitney sum) is surjective in homology. It follows that the composition $f_c \circ \pi_\emptyset^*$ of the right vertical maps is surjective in homology. Hence it must be an isomorphism in homology. Since $BU(k)$ is simply connected, $f_c \circ \pi_\emptyset^*$ is a homotopy equivalence. $\square$

5. APPLICATIONS

The objective of this section is to prove theorems 1.2 and 1.4. They will be consequences of the theorem

Theorem 5.1. *Let* $\imath : \Sigma_{q,k} \to \mathfrak{M}_k(X_q)$ *be the inclusion and let*

$$K = \operatorname{Ker}\left(\imath^* \circ f^* : H^*\left(\prod BU(k)\right) \to H^*(\Sigma_{q,k}) \right)$$

Then, for $k = 1, 2$ *the map* $\imath^* : H^*(\mathfrak{M}_k(X_q)) \to H^*(\Sigma_{q,k})$ *is injective and the map*

$$f^* : H^*\left(\prod BU(k)\right)/K \to H^*(\mathfrak{M}_k(X_q))$$

is an isomorphism.

We begin by computing K. Recall that $\Sigma_{q,k} = \coprod Or(J)$ and we have $Or(J) = \prod_{i=1}^q \left(BU(j_i) \times BU(j_i) \right)$. We introduce some notation:

$$H^*\left(\coprod_{|J|=k} Or(J)\right) \cong \bigoplus_{|J|=k} \left[{}^{J}c^{i+}_n, {}^{J}c^{i-}_n; \, 1 \le i \le q, \, 1 \le n \le j_l\right]$$

$$H^*\left(\prod_{l=0}^{q} BU(k)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[C^l_n; \, 0 \le l \le q, n \ge 1\right]$$

It will be convenient to make a change of variable. With power series notation ($C^l = 1 + C^l_1 + \ldots$, ${}^{J}c^{i\pm} = 1 + {}^{J}c^{i\pm}_1 + \ldots$) let

$$C = C^0 \qquad\qquad {}^{J}c^i = {}^{J}c^{i+}$$

$$S^i = (C^0)^{-1} C^i \qquad\qquad {}^{J}s^i = ({}^{J}c^{i+})^{-1} \, {}^{J}c^{i-}$$

Then

$$f^*(C) = \sum_{|J|=k} {}^{J}c^1 \ldots {}^{J}c^q \qquad\qquad f^*(S^i) = \sum_{|J|=k} {}^{J}s^i \tag{3}$$

We will also use in this section the following notation: Let J_i be the q-tuple such that $j_l = 0$ for $l \neq i$ and $j_i = 1$. Then let $J_{ij} = J_i + J_j$.

Proposition 5.2. *For $k = 1$, K is generated by $S_1^i S_1^j$, $i \neq j$. For $k = 2$, K is generated by*

$$\begin{aligned} &C_1 S_1^i S_1^j + S_1^i S_2^j + S_2^i S_1^j\ ,\ i \neq j \\ &C_2 S_1^i S_1^j - S_2^i S_2^j\ ,\ i \neq j \\ &S_1^i S_1^j S_1^k\ ,\ i \neq j \neq k \\ &S_1^i S_1^j S_2^k\ ,\ i \neq j \neq k \end{aligned}$$

Proof. These elements are clearly in the kernel so we only have to show the map is injective if we mod out by this ideal. For $k = 1$ this is clearly the case so let $k = 2$. Then, for each i, the composition

$$\mathbb{Z}[C_1, C_2, S_1^i, S_2^i] \to H^*\left(\prod BU(2)\right) \to H^*(\Sigma) \to Or(J_{ii})$$

is an isomorphism. Hence the kernel is contained in the ideal M_2 generated by products $S_{n_1}^{i_1} S_{n_2}^{i_2}$, $n_j = 1, 2$, $i_1 \neq i_2$. Now observe that

$$S_2^i(C_1 S_1^i S_1^j + S_1^i S_2^j + S_2^i S_1^j) + S_1^i(C_2 S_1^i S_1^j - S_2^i S_2^j) = S_1^j((S_2^i)^2 + C_1 S_1^i S_2^i + C_2(S_1^i)^2)$$

It follows that any element $x \in M_2$ can be written as

$$x = \sum_{i \neq j} (P_{ij} S_1^i S_1^j + Q_{ij} S_1^i S_2^j) + k$$

where $P_{ij}, Q_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}[C_1, C_2, S_1^i, S_1^j]$ and $k \in K$. We want to show that if $f^*(x) = 0$ then $P_{ij} = Q_{ij} = 0$. Fixing i, j, $i \neq j$, and letting f_{ij}^* be the composition $H^*\left(\prod BU(2)\right) \to H^*(\Sigma) \to Or(J_{ij})$ we have

$$f_{ij}^*(x) = (f_{ij}^*(P_{ij}) + f_{ij}^*(Q_{ij}) c_1^j) s_1^i s_1^j = 0$$

But both $f_{ij}^*(P_{ij})$ and $f_{ij}^*(Q_{ij})$ are symetric in c_1^i, c_1^j hence we must have $f_{ij}^*(P_{ij}) = f_{ij}^*(Q_{ij}) = 0$. This clearly implies $P_{ij} = Q_{ij} = 0$. ☐

Before we prove theorem 5.1 we need the following results proven in [San03]:

Theorem 5.3. *Consider the ideals K_i, K_{ij} generated by*

$$\begin{aligned} K_i &= \langle k_1, k_2 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, k_1, k_2] \cong H^*(BU(2)^{\times 2}) \\ K_{ij} &= \langle x_1 x_2 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] \cong H^*(BU(1)^{\times 4}) \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have the isomorphism of abelian groups

$$H^*(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2] \oplus \bigoplus_i K_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i<j} K_{ij}$$

The next theorem uses the notation in theorem 4.5 with $I = \emptyset, \{i\}, \{i, j\}$:

Theorem 5.4. *Let $C \subset \mathfrak{M}_2(X_2) \cong \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{CP}_2^2)$ be given by*

$$C = \left\{ [\mathcal{E}, \phi] \,|\, \mathcal{E} \text{ is trivial in } \mathbb{CP}_2^2 \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2) \right\} \tag{4}$$

There is a homotopy equivalence $g : C \to \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1) \times \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1)$ and

(1) *Let* $\imath_\emptyset^* : \pi_\emptyset^* \mathfrak{M}_2(X_0) \to \mathfrak{M}_2(X_q)$ *be the inclusion. Then* $\imath_\emptyset^* : \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2] \to H^*(\pi_\emptyset^* \mathfrak{M}_2)$ *is an isomorphism.*
(2) *Let* $\imath_i : \pi_i^* \mathfrak{M}_2(X_1) \to \mathfrak{M}_2(X_q)$ *be the inclusion. Then* $\imath_i^* : \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2] \oplus K_i \to H^*(\pi_i^* \mathfrak{M}_2)$ *is an isomorphism and* $\imath_i^*(K_{kl}) = \imath_i^*(K_j) = 0$ *for any* k, l *and any* $j \neq i$*.*
(3) *Let* $\imath_{ij} : \pi_{ij}^* C \to \mathfrak{M}_2(X_q)$ *be the inclusion. Then the map* $\imath_{ij}^* : K_{ij} \to H^*(\pi_{ij}^* C)$ *is injective and* $\imath_{ij}^*(K_{kl}) = 0$ *for different sets* $\{k, l\} \neq \{i, j\}$*.*

Proposition 5.5. *$Or(J_{ii}) \subset \pi_i^* \mathfrak{M}_2$, $Or(J_{ij}) \subset \pi_{ij}^* C$ ($i \neq j$), and these inclusions are homotopy equivalences.*

Proof. From proposition 4.6 we have $\pi_i^*(\Sigma_1) = Or(J_{ii})$ and from theorem A.2, it follows that the inclusion $Or(J_{ii}) \to \pi_i^* \mathfrak{M}_2$ is a homotopy equivalence. Since $Or(1,1) \subset C$ ($Or(1,1)$ is the orbit associated with $J = (1,1)$), proposition 4.6 implies $Or(J_{ij}) = \pi_{ij}^* Or(1,1) \subset \pi_{ij}^* C$. To show that this inclusion is a homotopy equivalence we need to look at the map $g : C \to \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1) \times \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1)$. This map is defined as follows (compare with the discussion after theorem 4.5): the spaces $\mathbb{CP}_2^2 \setminus L_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) are biholomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}_1^2$ minus one point. The bundles $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{CP}_2^2 \setminus L_i}$ extend uniquely to give bundles $\mathcal{E}_i$ over $\mathbb{CP}_1^2$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then g is defined by $g(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_{ij}^* \mathfrak{M}_2(X_2) & \pi_{ij}^* C \arrow[l] & C \arrow[l, "\pi_{ij}^*", "\cong"'] \arrow[r, "g", "\cong"'] & \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1) \times \mathfrak{M}_1(X_1) \\
 & Or(J_{ij}) \arrow[ul] \arrow[u] & Or(1,1) \arrow[l, "\pi_{ij}^*", "\cong"'] \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "g"] & \Sigma_{1,1} \times \Sigma_{1,1} \arrow[u, "\cong"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

We claim that $g : Or(1,1) \to \Sigma_{1,1} \times \Sigma_{1,1}$ is a homotopy equivalence. It will follow that the inclusion $Or(J_{ij}) \to \pi_{ij}^* C$ is a homotopy equivalence. To prove the claim we recall that

$$Or(1,1) \cong \frac{SU(r)}{U(1)^{\times 4} \times U(r-4)} \qquad \Sigma_{1,1} \cong \frac{SU(r)}{U(1)^{\times 2} \times U(r-2)}$$

Then it follows from proposition 4.4 that the map $Or(1,1) \to \Sigma_{1,1}$ is the natural projection map. When $r \to \infty$ we see that $g : Or(1,1) \to \Sigma_{1,1} \times \Sigma_{1,1}$ is a homotopy equivalence. This concludes the proof. □

Now we prove theorem 5.1:

Proof. First we observe that the map $\imath^* : H^*(\mathfrak{M}_2(X_q)) \to H^*(\Sigma)$ is injective. This follows easily from theorems 5.3 and 5.4. Hence we only need to show that $f^* : H^*(\prod BU(2)) \to H^*(\mathfrak{M}_2)$ is surjective. We will divide the proof into several steps.

(1) Let $I_{ij} \subset \mathbb{Z}[C_1, C_2, S_1^l, S_2^l]$ be the ideal generated by $S_1^i S_1^j$ and $S_1^i S_2^j$. We claim that $f^*(I_{ij}) \subset K_{ij}$. Let $y \in I_{ij}$. Then write $f^*(y) = x_\emptyset + \sum x_i + \sum x_{ij}$ with $x_\emptyset \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2]$, $x_i \in K_i$ and $x_{ij} \in K_{ij}$. Then, for any l, $\imath_l^* f^*(y) = 0 = \imath_l^*(x_\emptyset + x_l)$ so $x_\emptyset = x_l = 0$. Then, for any pair $\{k, l\} \neq \{i, j\}$ we have $\imath_{k,l}^* f^*(y) = 0 = \imath_{k,l}^*(x + x_k + x_l + x_{kl}) = \imath_{k,l}^*(x_{kl})$ hence $x_{kl} = 0$. This completes the proof.

(2) We claim that $f^*(I_{ij}) = K_{ij}$. First we observe that the image of the map $I_{ij} \to \mathbb{Z}[c_1^i, c_1^j, s_1^i, s_1^j]$ is precisely the ideal generated by $s_1^i s_1^j$. It is clearly contained in that ideal so we only have to show surjectivity. Recall (equation 3) that $S_n^i \mapsto s_n^i$. Also, since $c_n^i = 0$ for $n > 1$ it follows that $s_n^i = (-c_1^i)^{n-1} s_1^i$. This shows surjectivity. Now, since $f^*(I_{ij}) \cong K_{ij}$ and s_1^i, s_1^j are both primitive elements in $H^*(Or(J_{ij}))$ it follows that $f^*(I_{ij}) = K_{ij}$.

(3) Now let $I_i \subset \mathbb{Z}[C_1, C_2, S_1^i, S_2^i]$ be the ideal generated by S_1^i, S_2^i. We claim that $\imath_i^* f^*(I_i) = \imath_i^*(K_i)$. Let $y \in I_i$. Then write $f^*(y) = x_\emptyset + \sum x_i + \sum x_{ij}$. Then, for any $l \neq i$, $\imath_l^* f^*(y) = 0 = \imath_l^*(x_\emptyset + x_l)$ so $x_\emptyset = x_l = 0$. Hence $\imath^* f^*(I_i) \subset \imath^* K_i$. Now, since $\imath^* f^*(I_i) \cong \imath_i^*(K_i)$ and both S_1^i, S_2^i are sent to primitive elements, it follows that $\imath_i^* f^*(I_i) = \imath_i^*(K_i)$.

(4) Let $x \in K_i$. We claim that there is $y \in H^*(\prod BU(2))$ such that $f^*(y) = x$. Proof: choose $y_i \in I_i$ such that $\imath_i^* f^*(y_i) = \imath_i^*(x)$. Then $f^*(y_i) = x_i + \sum x_{kl}$. So we only have to choose y_{kl} such that $f^*(y_{kl}) = x_{kl}$ and define $y = y_i - \sum x_{kl}$.

(5) Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2]$. We claim that there is $y \in H^*(\prod BU(2))$ such that $f^*(y) = x$. From theorem 4.8, the map $\imath_\emptyset^* : \mathbb{Z}[C_1, C_2] \to H^*(S^4)$ is an isomorphism hence we can find $y_\emptyset \in \mathbb{Z}[C_1, C_2]$ such that $\imath_\emptyset^* f^*(y_\emptyset) = \imath_\emptyset^*(x)$. Then $f^*(y_\emptyset) = x + \sum_i x_i + \sum x_{ij}$ so we just choose $y_i \mapsto x_i$, $y_{ij} \mapsto x_{ij}$ and let $y = y_\emptyset - \sum y_i - \sum y_{ij}$.

$\square$

Appendix A. Monads

Here we show that the inclusion $\Sigma_{1,k}^\infty \to \mathfrak{M}_k^\infty(X_1)$ is a homotopy equivalence. We will use the monad description of self-dual connections on X_1 (see [Kin89]): let U, W be k dimensional vector spaces and consider the space $\bar{R}$ of configurations (a_1, a_2, d, b, c) where $a_1, a_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}(U, W)$, $d \in \operatorname{Hom}(W, U)$, $b \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^r, W)$ and $c \in \operatorname{Hom}(U, \mathbb{C}^r)$, satisfying the integrability condition $a_1 d a_2 - a_2 d a_1 + bc = 0$. The group $\operatorname{Aut}(W) \times \operatorname{Aut}(U)$ acts in $\bar{R}$ by

$$(g, h) \cdot (a_1, a_2, d, b, c) = (g a_1 h^{-1}, g a_2 h^{-1}, h d g^{-1}, g b, c h^{-1})$$

Then there is an open subset of $\bar{R}$, R (the non-degenerate configurations) such that $\mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_1)$ is isomorphic to the quotient of R by the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(W) \times \operatorname{Aut}(U)$.

Proposition A.1. *Let M_0 be the set of equivalence classes of configurations of the form $(0, 0, 0, b, c)$. Then, as a subspace of $\mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_1) \cong \mathcal{M}_k^r(\mathbb{CP}_1^2)$, $M_0 = \Sigma_{1,k}^r$.*

Proof. A self dual connection $A \in M_0 \subset \mathfrak{M}_k^r(X_1)$ induces a holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbb{CP}_1^2$ given by the homology of the sequence ($\mathcal{E} = \operatorname{Ker} B / \operatorname{Im} A$)

$$U \otimes \mathcal{O}(-L_\infty) \oplus W \otimes \mathcal{O}(-L_\infty + L) \xrightarrow{A} V \otimes \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{B} \tag{5}$$

$$\xrightarrow{B} W \otimes \mathcal{O}(L_\infty) \oplus U \otimes \mathcal{O}(L_\infty - L)$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_1\mathbb{1} \\ x_1\mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & s_2\mathbb{1} \\ x_2\mathbb{1} & 0 \\ cx_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ B = \begin{pmatrix} x_2\mathbb{1} & 0 & -x_1\mathbb{1} & 0 & bx_3 \\ 0 & s_2\mathbb{1} & 0 & -s_1\mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here $\mathbb{1}$ is the $k \times k$ identity matrix, x_1, x_2, x_3 are sections spanning $H^0(\mathcal{O}(L_\infty))$ with $x_3 = 0$ in L_∞ and s_1, s_2 are sections spanning $H^0(\mathcal{O}(L_\infty - L))$ such that $s_1x_2 = s_2x_1$. Let $\mathcal{E}_{1i}, \mathcal{E}_{2i} \subset \operatorname{Ker} B$ be the rank 2 bundles

$$\mathcal{E}_{1i} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha s_1\mathbb{1} & 0 & \alpha s_2\mathbb{1} & \beta c \end{pmatrix}_i ; \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{E}_{2i} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} v_2\mathbb{1} & 0 & -v_1\mathbb{1} & 0 & v_3\frac{\bar{b}^t}{|b|^2} \end{pmatrix}_i ; v_1x_1 + v_2x_2 + v_3x_3 = 0 \right\}$$

(the subscript i denotes the ith line of the matrix) and let $\mathcal{E}_3 = \{(0,0,0,0,v) | bv = \bar{c}^t v = 0\}$. Then $\operatorname{Ker} B = \bigoplus_i (\mathcal{E}_{1i} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{2i}) \oplus \mathcal{E}_3$. Sequence 5 splits into k sequences whose homology is the homology of the sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{O}(-L_\infty) \arrow[r, "{(0,x_1,0,x_2,c_ix_3)}"] & \mathcal{E}_{1i} \arrow[r] & 0 \\ \mathcal{O}(-L_\infty + L) \arrow[r, "{(s_1,0,s_2,0,0)}"] & \mathcal{E}_{2i} \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

This clearly shows that the homology of sequence 5 is a sum of line bundles $\mathcal{E} \cong \bigoplus_i (\mathcal{O}(L) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-L)) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{r-2k}$. Hence $M_0 \subset \Sigma^r_{1,k}$. To show the opposite direction we observe that M_0 is the subspace of pairs $(V_1, V_2) \in Grass(k, \mathbb{C}^r) \times Grass(k, \mathbb{C}^r)$ with $V_1 \perp V_2$ hence it has dimension $4rk - 6k^2$. Hence, $M_0, \Sigma^r_{1,k}$ are compact manifolds of the same dimension. It follows that $\Sigma^r_{1,k} = M_0$. □

Theorem A.2. *When $r \to \infty$, the inclusion $\imath : \Sigma^\infty_{1,k} \to \mathfrak{M}^\infty_k(X_1)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Consider the principal $Aut(W) \times Aut(V)$ bundle $R \to \mathfrak{M}^\infty_k(X_1)$. Consider the pullback of this bundle to M_0. We have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \imath^* R \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R \arrow[d] \\ M_0 \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{M}^\infty_k \end{tikzcd}$$

In [BS97] it was shown that R becomes contractible when $r \to \infty$ and the same argument shows that $\imath^* R$ (the set of configurations of the form $(0,0,0,b,c)$) is also contractible. Applying the five lemma to the long exact sequences of homotopy groups associated to these principal bundles it follows that the map $\imath_*$ is an isomorphism in all homotopy groups, hence $\imath$ is a homotopy equivalence. □

Appendix B. Direct sum

Here we will define a map $\mathfrak{M}^{r_1}_{k_1}(X_q) \times \mathfrak{M}^{r_2}_{k_2}(X_q) \to \mathfrak{M}^{r_1+r_2}_{k_1+k_2}(X_q)$ induced by direct sum and show that this map is well defined when $r_1, r_2 \to \infty$.

For each pair (r, k) fix an $SU(r)$ bundle $E_{r,k}$ over X_q with $c_2(E_{r,k}) = k$. Then, to each isomorphism $\phi : E_{k_1,r_1} \oplus E_{k_2,r_2} \to E_{k_1+k_2,r_1+r_2}$ we can associate a map

$$h_\phi : \mathfrak{M}^{r_1}_{k_1}(X_q) \times \mathfrak{M}^{r_2}_{k_2}(X_q) \to \mathfrak{M}^{r_1+r_2}_{k_1+k_2}(X_q)$$

that sends a pair of connections (A_1, A_2) to $\phi^*(A_1 \oplus A_2)$. Since we act on the connections with the gauge group $\mathcal{G}_0$, h_ϕ only depends on the value of ϕ at the base point $x_\infty \in X_q$. The following result is then an easy consequence of the connectedness of $SU(r_1 + r_2)$:

Proposition B.1. *Any two maps h_{ϕ_1}, h_{ϕ_2} are homotopic.*

Sum with a trivial rank R bundle induces a map $\mathfrak{M}^r_k \to \mathfrak{M}^{r+R}_k$. Then

Theorem B.2. *The following diagram is homotopy commutative*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{M}^{r_1}_{k_1} \times \mathfrak{M}^{r_2}_{k_2} \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d] & \mathfrak{M}^{r_1+r_2}_{k_1+k_2} \arrow[d] \\
\mathfrak{M}^{r_1+R}_{k_1} \times \mathfrak{M}^{r_2+R}_{k_2} \arrow[r, "h"] & \mathfrak{M}^{r_1+r_2+2R}_{k_1+k_2}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence the map $h : \mathfrak{M}^\infty_{k_1} \times \mathfrak{M}^\infty_{k_2} \to \mathfrak{M}^\infty_{k_1+k_2}$ is well defined.

Proof. It follows directly from proposition B.1. □

References

[BS97] Jim Bryan and Marc Sanders, *The rank stable topology of instantons of $\overline{\mathbf{cp}}^2$*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 12, 3763–3768. MR **98b:**58028

[Buc93] N. P. Buchdahl, *Instantons on $n\mathbf{cp}_2$*, J. Differential Geom. **37** (1993), no. 3, 669–687. MR **94e:**53025

[Buc00] Nicholas P. Buchdahl, *Blowups and gauge fields*, Pacific J. Math. **196** (2000), no. 1, 69–111. MR **2001m:**32038

[DK90] S. K. Donaldson and P. B. Kronheimer, *The geometry of four-manifolds*, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1990, Oxford Science Publications. MR **92a:**57036

[Don83] S. K. Donaldson, *An application of gauge theory to four-dimensional topology*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 2, 279–315. MR **85c:**57015

[Fre82] Michael Hartley Freedman, *The topology of four-dimensional manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), no. 3, 357–453. MR **84b:**57006

[Kin89] A. D. King, *Instantons and holomorphic bundles on the blown-up plane*, Ph.D. thesis, Worcester College, Oxford, 1989.

[LM89] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. and Marie-Louise Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 38, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989. MR **91g:**53001

[Mat00] Andreas Matuschke, *On framed instanton bundles and their deformations*, Math. Nachr. **211** (2000), 109–126. MR 1 743 485

[MS74] John W. Milnor and James D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1974, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76. MR 55 #13428

[San95] Marc Sanders, *Classifying spaces and Dirac operators coupled to instantons*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), no. 10, 4037–4072. MR **96m:**58030

[San03] João P. Santos, *Rank stable instantons over positive definite four manifolds*, arXiv:math.AG/0301158, 2003.

[Seg70] Graeme Segal, *Fredholm complexes*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **21** (1970), 385–402. MR 42 #6811

[Tau89] Clifford Henry Taubes, *The stable topology of self-dual moduli spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **29** (1989), no. 1, 163–230. MR **90f:**58023